02AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) (DU Rev. 12/16)

United States of America

justice if released;

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Utah

	V.	)	G N 425 CD 152 DIG DDD		
Reniamin Re	uihen Zalman-Poliin	)	Case No. 2:25 CR 153 RJS-DBP		
Benjamin Reuben Zalman-Polun  Defendant		— <u> </u>			
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL					
Part I - Eligibility for Detention					
Upon the					
<b>△</b> A. Motion	of the Government attorney pu	ursuant to	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), because defendant is		
charged with					
		for which	18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in a maximum term of imprisonment of 10		
$\boxtimes$	-		sentence is life imprisonment <b>or</b> death;		
	is prescribed in the Controlle	d Substar t and Exp	erm of imprisonment of 10 years or more nees Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the port Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or S.C. §§ 70501-70508); <b>or</b>		
	(4) any felony if such person	has been	convicted of two or more offenses h (3) of this paragraph, or two or more		
	victim; (b) the possession of	a firearm	or destructive device (as defined in 18 s weapon; or (d) a failure to register		
OR					
☐ B. Motion of	of the Government or Court's o	own moti	on pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2), because		

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

(2) defendant poses a serious risk of obstructing or attempting to obstruct

(1) defendant poses a serious risk of flight if released, or

## Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

Document 69 of 4

☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other
person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
☐ (1) the defendant is charged with one of the crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) which are listed in Part I A. above.
☐ (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; <i>and</i>
(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
☐ (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.
☑ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
☐ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
<b>☒</b> (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1),
2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☑ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☑ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.

#### Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention

After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention
hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has
proven:

- By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
- ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:

$\boxtimes$	Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
$\boxtimes$	Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
	Prior criminal history
	Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
	History of violence or use of weapons
	History of alcohol or substance abuse
	Lack of stable, authorized employment
$\boxtimes$	Lack of stable residence
	Lack of financially responsible sureties
	Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
$\boxtimes$	Significant family or other ties outside the United States
	Lack of legal status in the United States
	Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
	Use of alias(es) or false documents
	Background information unknown or unverified
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

#### OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Defendant has been charged with exceptionally serious offenses related to a May 2024 attempted coup d'etat in the Democratic Republic of the Congo. As more fully discussed in the government's Motion for Detention (ECF No. 9), the alleged attempt to overthrow of a foreign government involved planning, travel, weapons, and murder. In addition, this defendant has significant ties outside the District of Utah, including in many foreign countries. His danger to the community and incentive to flee are substantial. For now, defendant submits to the issue of detention. Detention is therefore appropriate. Defendant may move to reopen detention pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2) as circumstances warrant.

### **Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date:	May 9, 2025	
	_	United States Magistrate Judge
		/ 1/